UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,157	07/23/2003	Mark Bendett	GK-ZEI-3215/ 500343.20226	7991
26418 REED SMITH,	7590 05/17/200 LLP	7	EXAMINER	
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT			FARAH, AHMED M	
	ON AVENUE, 291H F VY 10022-7650	AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR 0022-7650 ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\mathcal{M}	p
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,157	BENDETT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this commuED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nove	ember 13, 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	• •	40440
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· - · ·	*	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/625,157

Art Unit: 3735

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 49 and dependent claims 50 and 51 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim limitations are fully recited in the last clause of its parent claim 47.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 24 and dependent claims thereof (claims 25, 28, 29, 31-33, 44 and 45) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Although the applicant's written description supports the use of pulse frequency in the range of from 50 KHz to 1 MHz (see the abstract and paragraph [0013]

of the original specification), it fails to specifically teach the use of pulse frequency of 101 KHz, which is critical to the instant claims as recited in claim 24.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 44 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The specification fails to give a clear description of the <u>relative length of the cut and the circumference of the material portion</u> as recited is recited in the claims. Moreover, scope of what is recited in the claims cannot be understood from the claims languages. Therefore these claims are indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juhasz et al. US Patent No. 5,993,438.

Juhasz et al. disclose a method and apparatus for treating visual defects of the eye, the method comprising the steps of:

irradiating to the ocular tissue with laser light with pulse width in the range of 100 fs to 10 ns (see col. 5, lines 21-24), a wavelength of between 300 nm to 3 μm , and a pulse repetition frequency of between 0.1 KHz to 100 KHz (see col. 2, lines 22-36 and col. 4, lines 54-56); and

focusing the laser pulses in the corneal tissue, wherein the focal points are guided over the ocular tissue so as to generate a three-dimensional cohesive cut as presently claimed (see col. 2, line 53 through col. 3, line 19 and col. 3, lines 40-50).

Although Juhasz et al. use a frequency range of up to 100 KHz, they do not particularly teach the use of pulse frequency of up to 101 KHz as presently claimed. Moreover, the applicant's written description clearly recites that the preferred frequency range is 100 –500 KHz (see paragraph [0013] of the original specification).

Hence, at the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a pulse frequency of about 100 KHz as taught by Juhasz et al., or a very close frequency such as claimed in order to provide the treatment. The court has decided that a prima facie case of obviousness exists where the claimed ranges and prior art ranges do not overlap but are very close enough that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties (Titanium Metal Corp. of America v. Banner, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985)).

Note: as described in paragraphs [0033] and [0038] of the instant application (Pub. No. US 2004/0243111A1), the applicant's method for scanning/guiding the laser pulses over the target material is analogous to the scanning method of Juhasz et al. (see Juhasz col. 3, lines 40-50).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 47, 48, 52, 53 and 55 are allowed.

Claims 28, 29 and 31-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent No. 6,552,301 to Herman et al. discloses a method for modifying a biological material using high frequency laser pulses (see col. 3, lines 60+, and claims 1 and 15).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/625,157 Page 6

Art Unit: 3735

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M. Farah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tue, Thur and Fri between 9:30 AM 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marmor II Charles can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ahmed M Farah Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

May 11, 2007.